

Context		Data period	Leeds	Inner North East	Highest	Lowest		
	Number of children and young people 0-19	July 2015	172,512	17,792	25,756	10,006		
	Percentage of children and young people	July 2015	n/a	10.3%	14.9%	5.8%		
	Free school eligibility - primary schools	2014/15 AY	19.9%	13.9%	33.4%	6.5%		
	Free school meal eligibility - secondary schools	2014/15 AY	18.5%	16.0%	31.9%	5.7%		
<p>The Inner North East contains 10.3 per cent of the Leeds under 19 population, an estimated 17,792 children and young people. Free school meal entitlement is below city levels, at 13.9 per cent for primary school pupils, and 16.0 per cent for secondary school pupils.</p> <p>At the end of December 2015 the number of children looked after was 61, slightly lower than the 64 recorded the previous December. This is 4.8 per cent of the overall looked after cohort, which is lower than the area's share of the overall child population. Child protection numbers also show a modest reduction in the same period (27, from 34; 4.7 per cent of the overall cohort). There was a marginal increase in the number of child protection and safeguarding contacts being made to the council's Duty and Advice Team (child protection front door). More individuals and organisations are seeking advice and information at the front door, with helpful support being provided without the need for social work involvement. The number of these contacts that then became a referral to be followed up by social care fell slightly, suggesting no increase in need.</p> <p>In 2015, 65.9 per cent of five year-olds living in the area reached what is known as a good level of development, this represents an improvement of 3.6 percentage points from the previous year, and is a higher figure than the city average of 61.7 per cent. Performance at the end of key stage 2 and key stage 4 also saw an improvement from the previous year; Inner North East pupils perform better than the city average, with 80.9 per cent achieving level 4 or above in reading writing and maths (2.9 percentage points above the city average), and 61.0 per cent gaining at least five GCSEs, including English and maths (5.5 percentage points above the city average).</p> <p>88 per cent of primary schools (up two percentage points since the previous December), and all secondary schools (no change) are rated as good or better by Ofsted, accepting that this will not include new sponsored academies awaiting their first inspection.</p> <p>Leeds attendance levels remained high for the 2014/15 academic year accepting they were, due to illness, marginally down on the previous year. Attendance levels for pupils living in the Inner North East are above the city averages, although both primary (96.4 per cent, down by 0.2 percentage points) and secondary (95.1 per cent, down by 0.3 percentage points) fell slightly. Persistent absence (pupils missing at least 15 per cent of the school year - going forwards this will be pupils missing 10 per cent) in the area rose at both phases (by 30 for primary age pupils, and 32 for secondary age pupils). NB 2014/15 data includes half-term six; 13/14 does not, so treat changes with caution.</p> <p>The number of NEET young people 16-18 in the area rose modestly, by eight. Reassuringly, however, there was a strong reduction in the number of young people whose status is not known - down by 42. Both NEET and not known percentages remain below the city averages. There was a strong reduction in the number of 10-17 year-olds in the area who committed one or more offence - down from 31 to six between December 2014 and December 2015, this is one of the lowest numbers in the city.</p>								
All children and young people are safe from harm		Data period	Leeds	Inner North East			Highest	Lowest
				Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
	Number of children looked after	31 Dec 2015	1,260	61	64	↓	322	14
	Percentage of children looked after cohort from community committee area	31 Dec 2015	n/a	4.8%	4.9%	↓	25.6%	1.1%
	Number of children entering care	Apr 15-Dec 15	274	10	13	↓	69	5
	Number of children subject to a child protection plan	31 Dec 2015	570	27	34	↓	119	12
	Percentage of child protection cohort in community committee area	31 Dec 2015	n/a	4.7%	5.3%	↓	20.9%	2.1%
	Number of contacts received by the Duty and Advice Team	Apr 15-Dec 15	15,663	888	872	↑	3,416	439
	Number of contacts leading to a referral	Apr 15-Dec 15	8,119	480	498	↓	1,909	187
All children and young people do well at all levels of learning and have the skills for life		Data period	Leeds	Inner North East			Highest	Lowest
				Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
	Primary school attendance levels	2014/15 H-T 1-6	96.1%	96.4%	96.6%	↓	97.1%	95.0%
	Secondary school attendance levels	2014/15 H-T 1-6	94.3%	95.1%	95.4%	↓	95.8%	92.5%
	Number of pupils persistently absent at primary school	2014/15 H-T 1-6	1,270	96	66	↑	345	35
	Number of pupils persistently absent at secondary school	2014/15 H-T 1-6	2,613	178	146	↑	610	61
	Number of NEET young people (adjusted)	31 Dec 2015	1,437	109	101	↑	364	38
	Percentage of NEET young people (adjusted)	31 Dec 2015	6.5%	4.8%	4.3%	↑	11.5%	2.6%
	Number of 'not knowns'	31 Dec 2015	857	53	95	↓	127	40
	Percentage of 'not knowns'	31 Dec 2015	3.8%	2.3%	4.0%	↓	4.3%	2.3%
	Foundation Stage good level of development	2014/15 AY	61.7%	65.9%	62.3%	↑	77.2%	49.2%
	Key Stage 2: level 4+ reading, writing, and maths	2014/15 AY	78.0%	80.9%	79.5%	↑	88.2%	70.1%
	Key Stage 4: 5+ A*-C GCSE including English and maths	2014/15 AY	55.5%	61.0%	54.1%	↑	71.7%	38.5%
All children and young people are active citizens who feel they have a voice and influence		Data period	Leeds	Inner North East			Highest	Lowest
				Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
	10-17 year olds committing an offence	2015 cal year	507	6	31	↓	342	6
Ofsted inspections (Published inspections on the Ofsted website at data period date)		Data period	Leeds	Inner North East			Highest	Lowest
				Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
	Percentage of primary schools good or better	31 Dec 2015	92%	88%	86%	↑	100%	80%
	Percentage of secondary schools good or better (inc through schools)	31 Dec 2015	75%	100%	100%	↔	100%	0%
	Percentage of children's centres good or better	31 Dec 2015	78%	80%	100%	↓	100%	57%
	Percentage of children's homes good or better	31 Dec 2015	70%	-	-		100%	0%

Select ward from pulldown menu:

Burmantofts and Richmond Hill



Use the filtered columns in the data table to change the display order of community committees or wards. You can also filter indicators (low to high or high to low).
Instances of fewer than five are suppressed to preserve confidentiality.

Data															
Good performance is...		Low		Low		Low		High		High		Low		Low	
Time period		31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	2014/15 H-T 1-6	2014/15 H-T 1-6 (1-5 Y11)	2014/15 H-T 1-6	2014/15 H-T 1-6 (1-5 Y11)	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	2015	2015
Community Committee	Ward	Number of children looked after ¹	Percentage of children looked after cohort in ward ¹	Number of children subject to a child protection plan	Percentage of child protection cohort in ward	Primary school attendance levels ²	Secondary school attendance levels ²	Number of pupils persistently absent at primary school ²	Number of pupils persistently absent at secondary school ²	Number of NEET young people (adjusted) ³	Percentage of NEET young people (adjusted) ³	Number of not known ³	Percentage of not known ³	Foundation Stage good level of development ⁴	Key Stage 2 level 4+ reading, writing, and maths ⁴
IE	Burmantofts and Richmond Hill	122	9.7%	33	5.8%	95.1%	92.7%	129	170	124	13.8%	41	4.5%	47.4%	65.5%
IE	Gipton and Harehills	130	10.3%	36	6.3%	94.7%	93.1%	139	211	143	11.5%	48	3.8%	50.6%	71.0%
IE	Killingbeck and Seacroft	70	5.6%	50	8.8%	95.4%	91.5%	77	229	97	9.5%	38	3.7%	53.7%	78.7%
INE	Chapel Allerton	42	3.3%	20	3.5%	95.9%	94.1%	49	93	54	7.0%	27	3.5%	55.3%	72.0%
INE	Roundhay	9	0.7%	<5	n/a	96.4%	95.6%	27	51	31	3.5%	15	1.7%	70.8%	82.8%
INW	Headingley	13	1.0%	5	0.9%	95.8%	93.5%	<5	17	15	10.1%	6	4.1%	56.9%	73.5%
INW	Hyde Park and Woodhouse	33	2.6%	22	3.9%	96.0%	94.0%	28	35	29	9.7%	21	7.0%	52.9%	71.6%
INW	Weetwood	22	1.7%	10	1.8%	96.6%	95.0%	18	44	28	4.8%	18	3.1%	67.9%	80.9%
IS	Beeston and Holbeck	75	6.0%	19	3.3%	95.9%	94.5%	55	83	67	9.1%	36	4.8%	48.5%	65.6%
IS	City and Hunslet	91	7.2%	34	6.0%	95.6%	93.4%	51	92	79	12.6%	30	4.7%	45.6%	71.2%
IS	Middleton Park	110	8.7%	55	9.6%	95.9%	93.8%	61	125	83	8.3%	28	2.8%	52.3%	72.7%
IW	Armley	67	5.3%	14	2.5%	95.9%	93.2%	56	118	89	12.0%	21	2.8%	47.8%	72.6%
IW	Bramley and Stanningley	46	3.7%	25	4.4%	95.8%	92.5%	40	138	71	9.1%	18	2.3%	54.4%	69.1%
IW	Kirkstall	32	2.5%	24	4.2%	96.0%	93.0%	34	91	48	8.6%	25	4.4%	65.7%	76.1%
OE	Cross Gates and Whinmoor	40	3.2%	12	2.1%	95.8%	92.9%	38	109	36	5.0%	18	2.5%	67.6%	77.3%
OE	Garforth and Swillington	<5	n/a	10	1.8%	96.7%	96.1%	12	27	13	1.9%	14	2.1%	65.5%	82.1%
OE	Kippax and Methley	11	0.9%	0	0.0%	96.5%	94.6%	24	61	24	3.8%	18	2.8%	71.4%	75.8%
OE	Temple Newsam	32	2.5%	18	3.2%	96.0%	94.4%	38	86	45	6.2%	15	2.0%	61.1%	75.0%
ONE	Alwoodley	10	0.8%	<5	n/a	96.7%	95.9%	15	24	19	3.9%	14	2.8%	69.3%	85.8%
ONE	Harewood	<5	n/a	0	0.0%	97.0%	95.8%	13	15	8	2.1%	11	2.8%	80.5%	88.9%
ONE	Wetherby	<5	n/a	8	1.4%	97.4%	95.5%	7	22	11	3.3%	15	4.4%	76.5%	90.2%
ONW	Adel and Wharfedale	6	0.5%	<5	n/a	96.9%	96.0%	10	18	9	1.9%	26	5.5%	80.9%	86.1%
ONW	Guiselley and Rawdon	12	1.0%	12	2.1%	97.1%	95.3%	19	74	22	2.9%	21	2.7%	80.1%	84.1%
ONW	Horsforth	14	1.1%	5	0.9%	97.3%	95.7%	11	33	8	1.3%	15	2.4%	76.9%	83.7%
ONW	Otley and Yeadon	9	0.7%	9	1.6%	96.8%	94.5%	14	62	26	4.2%	18	2.9%	59.9%	85.7%
OS	Ardsley and Robin Hood	8	0.6%	13	2.3%	96.1%	96.4%	30	33	20	2.9%	17	2.5%	65.8%	81.2%
OS	Morley North	13	1.0%	18	3.2%	96.4%	96.1%	26	27	28	4.3%	25	3.8%	64.1%	80.2%
OS	Morley South	31	2.5%	18	3.2%	96.1%	95.9%	34	35	31	4.7%	21	3.2%	65.8%	88.0%
OS	Rothwell	7	0.6%	15	2.6%	96.2%	95.0%	26	76	24	3.5%	26	3.8%	68.7%	76.8%
OW	Calverley and Farsley	6	0.5%	11	1.9%	96.4%	94.9%	26	54	13	2.2%	12	2.1%	67.2%	81.7%
OW	Farnley and Wortley	43	3.4%	9	1.6%	96.0%	94.6%	52	87	83	10.1%	22	2.7%	51.4%	75.3%
OW	Moortown	10	0.8%	<5	n/a	96.8%	95.8%	20	34	23	3.8%	11	1.8%	71.9%	89.2%
OW	Pudsey	25	2.0%	7	1.2%	96.1%	94.5%	37	73	33	4.2%	30	3.8%	67.7%	81.9%